

A. YU. BODNARCHUK, O. B. SKASKIV, O. M. TRUSEVYCH

**ABOUT BOREL TYPE RELATION FOR SOME
POSITIVE FUNCTIONAL SERIES**

A. Yu. Bodnarchuk, O. B. Skaskiv, O. M. Trusevych. *About Borel type relation for some positive functional series*, Mat. Stud. **63** (2025), 98–101.

Let f be an entire transcendental function, (λ_n) be a non-decreasing to $+\infty$ sequence, $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|: |z| = r\}$, and $\Gamma_f(r)/r = (\ln M_f(r))'_+$ be a right derivative, $r > 0$. For a regularly convergent in \mathbb{C} series of the form $F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f(\lambda_n z)$ the following statement is proved (Corollary 1): If condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\Gamma_f(\lambda_n)} < +\infty$$

holds, then the relation $\ln M_F(r) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \mu_F(r)$ holds as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside a set of finite logarithmic measure, where $\mu_F(r) = \max\{|a_n| M_f(r\lambda_n): n \geq 0\}$, $M_F(r) = \max\{|F(z)|: |z| = r\}$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be non-decreasing to $+\infty$ sequence. There was obtained the conditions in [1, 2] under which for series of the form

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f(\lambda_n x), \quad a_n \geq 0 \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{1}$$

and for such integrals $\int_0^{+\infty} a(t) f(tx) \nu(dt)$ as some generalizations of these series, the Borel-type asymptotic relation

$$\ln F(x) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \mu_F(x) \tag{2}$$

holds as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ outside some set of finite Lebesgue measure. Here f is a positive function on $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ such that the function $y = \ln f(x): \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a convex function on \mathbb{R}_+ , $\mu(x, F) = \max\{a_n f(x\lambda_n): n \geq 0\}$. In [3], the Borel-type relation was researched for more general positive integrals of the form $\int_0^{+\infty} a(t) f(tx + \beta(t)\tau(x)) \nu(dt)$, which are generalizations of series of the Taylor-Dirichlet type. In particular, the following theorem was proved in paper [1].

Theorem 1 ([1]). *Let $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a differentiable function such that the function $\ln f(x): \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a convex function and a non-negative sequence (λ_n) be such that $0 \leq \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$ ($0 \leq n \uparrow +\infty$). If a function F represented on $(0, +\infty)$ by a series of form (1) and the condition*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln f(\lambda_n)} < +\infty \tag{3}$$

holds, then there exists a set $E \subset (0, +\infty)$ of finite Lebesgue measure such that asymptotic relation (2) holds as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x \rightarrow +\infty, x \notin E$).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30B50, 30D20.

Keywords: entire function; regularly convergent series; maximal term.

doi:10.30970/ms.63.1.98-101

Sheremeta [5] considered regularly convergent series of the form

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} b_n g(z\beta_n),$$

that is

$$\mathfrak{M}_G(r) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |b_n| M_g(r\beta_n) < +\infty$$

for all $r > 0$. Here $g(z)$ is some entire function, (β_n) is a given non-negative sequence such that $\beta_n \uparrow +\infty$ ($n \uparrow +\infty$), and $M_g(r) = \max\{|g(z)|: |z| = r\}$. If we now denote $F(x) = \mathfrak{M}_G(x)$, $f(x) = M_g(x)$, $\lambda_n = \beta_n$, then we obtain a series of form (1), where the function $\ln f(x)$ is logarithmically convex, i.e. the function $h(x) := \ln f(e^x)$ is a convex function on \mathbb{R} .

For the function $f(x) = e^x$ we obtain an entire Dirichlet series $F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{x\lambda_n}$. Then in view of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln f(\lambda_n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n\lambda_n} < +\infty,$$

from Theorem 1 it follows the statement of theorem from [4], which was obtained for entire Dirichlet series. Note that if the function $\ln f(x)$ is not convex, then we cannot apply the statement of Theorem 1. The following conjecture from [5] is closely related to this circumstance. Let us denote $\Gamma_f(x) = x(\ln f(x))'$.

Conjecture 1. *If*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\Gamma_f(\lambda_n)} < +\infty, \quad (4)$$

then asymptotical relation (2) holds as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ outside some exceptional set E such that $\int_E \Gamma_f(x) \frac{dx}{x} < +\infty$ for every functions F of form (1).

For an every transcendental function f we get $\Gamma_f(r) = r(\ln M_f(r))'_+ \nearrow +\infty$ ($r \rightarrow +\infty$). Therefore, from condition $\int_E \Gamma_f(x) \frac{dx}{x} < +\infty$ follows that $\int_E \frac{dx}{x} < +\infty$, that is, a set E has finite Lebesgue measure.

Note that if we choose $\ln f(t) = (\ln t)^{1+\varrho}$, $\varrho > 0$, then $\Gamma_f(r) = (1 + \varrho)(\ln t)^{\varrho}$. Therefore, the condition (3) is weaker than the condition (4). However, there is a caveat here. Under the conditions of Sheremeta's conjecture the function $\ln f(x)$ should be considered logarithmically convex. And in the statement of Theorem 1, the function $\ln f(x)$ is convex.

Let us prove the following statement.

Theorem 2. *Let $f_0: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a differentiable function such that the function $y = \ln f_0(x): \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a convex function and the right derivative $L(x, f_0) := (\ln f_0(x))'_+$ strictly instreases to $+\infty$ ($x \geq x_0$); (β_n) be a non-negative sequence such that $0 \leq \beta_n \uparrow +\infty$ ($0 \leq n \uparrow +\infty$). If a function F_0 represented on $(0, +\infty)$ by a series of form*

$$F_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n f_0(\beta_n + x), \quad a_n \geq 0 \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (5)$$

and the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{nL(\beta_n, f_0)} < +\infty \quad (6)$$

holds, then there exists a set $E \subset (0, +\infty)$ of finite Lebesgue measure such that asymptotic relation $\ln F_0(x) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \mu_{F_0}(x)$ holds as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x \rightarrow +\infty$, $x \notin E$), where

$$\mu_{F_0}(x) = \max\{a_n f_0(\beta_n + x) : n \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $f_0(0) = 1$. We reason similarly as in papers [1–3, 6]. It is easy to see that

$$F_0(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} a(t) f_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t),$$

where $n_\beta(t) = \sum_{\beta_n \leq t} 1$, $a(t)$ is some non-negative dn_β -measurable function such that $a(\beta_n) = a_n$ ($n \geq 0$) and $a(t) = 0$ for all $t \notin \{\beta_n : n \geq 0\}$.

For fixed $x > 0$ we put

$$G := G_x = \left\{ t > 0 : (\ln f_0(u))' \Big|_{u=x+t} \leq 2g'_0(x) \right\},$$

where $g_0(x) := \ln F_0(x)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus G} a(t) f_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus G} a(t) f'_0(t+x) \left((\ln f_0(u))' \Big|_{u=t+x} \right)^{-1} dn_\beta(t) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2g'_0(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus G} a(t) f'_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t) \leq \frac{1}{2g'_0(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} a(t) f'_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t) = \frac{F_0(x)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$F_0(x) = \int_G a(t) f_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus G} a(t) f_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t) \leq \int_G a(t) f(t+x) dn_\beta(t) + \frac{F_0(x)}{2},$$

i.e.

$$F_0(x) \leq 2 \int_G a(t) f_0(t+x) dn_\beta(t) \leq 2\mu_0(x, F) \nu_0(2g'_0(x)), \quad (7)$$

where $\nu_0(t) := \sum_{L(\beta_n, f_0) \leq t} 1$.

Now we need the following statement ([6]): for a given non-decreasing function $\nu_0(t) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ the condition

$$(\exists t_0 > 0) : \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{d \ln \nu_0(t)}{t} < +\infty, \quad (8)$$

is equivalent to the condition that there exists a continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(t) \uparrow +\infty$ ($t_0 \leq t \rightarrow +\infty$) and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{\psi(t)} < +\infty, \quad \ln \nu_0(t) = o(\psi^{-1}(t)) \quad (t \rightarrow +\infty). \quad (9)$$

It is easy to see that condition (8) follows from condition (6).

For the function $\psi_0(t) = \psi(t)/2$ we denote a set

$$E := \{x > x_0 : g'_0(x) \geq \psi_0(g_0(x))\}.$$

Since

$$\text{meas}(E \cap [x_0, +\infty)) = \int_E dx \leq \int_E \frac{g'_0(x)}{\psi_0(g_0(x))} dx \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{\psi_0(t)} < +\infty, \quad (10)$$

the set E has finite Lebesgue measure. Therefore, from inequality (7) and relation (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln F_0(x) &\leq \ln 2 + \ln \mu(x, F_0) + \ln \nu_0(2g'_0(x)) \leq \\ &\leq \ln 2 + \ln \mu(x, F_0) + \ln \nu_0(\psi(g_0(x))) = \ln \mu(x, F_0) + o(g_0(x)) \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x \notin E$). □

From Theorem 2 we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let (λ_n) be non-decreasing to $+\infty$ a sequence and a function F represented by regularly convergent functional series of form (1), where f is an entire function. If condition (4) satisfies, then the relation $\ln M_F(r) = (1 + o(1)) \ln \mu_F(r)$ holds as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside a set of finite logarithmic measure, where $\mu_F(r) = \max\{|a_n| M_f(r \lambda_n) : n \geq 0\}$.*

Proof. Let us denote $f_0(x) = M_f(e^x)$, $F_0(x) = M_F(e^x)$, $\beta_n = \ln \lambda_n$, and consider the series of form (5). Then

$$\mu_F(e^x) \leq M_F(e^x) \leq F_0(x), \quad L(x, f_0) = (\ln f_0(x))'_+ = \left. \frac{d \ln M_f(r)}{d \ln r} \right|_{r=e^x} = \Gamma_f(e^x).$$

It remains to apply the statement of Theorem 2. □

REFERENCES

1. O.B. Skaskiv, O.M. Trusevych, *Relations of Borel type for generalizations of exponential series*, Ukr. Math. J., **53**, (2001), №11, 1926–1931. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015219417195>
2. O.B. Skaskiv, *Rate of convergence of positive series*, Ukr. Math. Journ., **56** (2004), №12, 1665–1674. <https://umj.imath.kiev.ua/index.php/umj/article/view/3873/4464>.
3. O.B. Skaskiv, O.Yu. Tarnovecka, D.Yu. Zikrach, *Asymptotic estimates of some positive integrals outside an exceptional sets*, Internat. J. Pure and Appl. Math. (IJPAM), **118** (2018), №2, 157–164. <https://www.ijpam.eu/contents/2018-118-2/2/index.html>
4. O.B. Skaskiv, *Behavior of the maximal term of a Dirichlet series that defines an entire function*, Math. Notes, **37** (1985), №1, 686–693. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01652509>
5. M.M. Sheremeta, Yu.M. Gal', *On some properties of the maximal term of series in systems of functions*, Mat. Stud., **62** (2024), №1, 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.62.1.46-53>
6. O.B. Skaskiv, *On certain relations between the maximum modulus and the maximal term of an entire dirichlet series*, Math. Notes, **66** (1999), 223–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02674881>

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
Lviv, Ukraine
sandriy1111@gmail.com
olskask@gmail.com

Lviv State University of Life Safety
Lviv, Ukraine
o.trusev@ldubgd.edu.ua
trusev14@gmail.com

Received 10.01.2025