



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

INVESTIGACIÓN Y CIENCIA DESDE EURASIA

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Volumen 7 . Número Especial

Julio / Septiembre

2020

ISSN 0719-4706

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Directores

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras

Universidad de Tarapacá, Chile

Editor

Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Aleksandar Ivanov Katrandzhiev

Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Lic. Graciela Pantigoso de Los Santos

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Nidia Burgos

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González

Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy

Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach

Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania

Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín

Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanese

Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Ph. D. Maritza Montero

Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira

Universidad de La Coruña, España

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona

Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra

Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz

Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov

South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía

Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu

Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

REVISTA INCLUSIONES

REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie

Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar

Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo

*Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla,
México*

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto

Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar

Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau

Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg

*Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia
Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos*

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez

Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire

Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera

Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre

Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

+ Dr. Miguel León-Portilla

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura

*Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel",
España*

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros

Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández

Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango

Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut

Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo

*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras,
Honduras*

Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso
Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Dra. Elian Araujo
Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rumyana Atanasova Popova
Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa
Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal
Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal

Dra. Noemí Brenta
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca
Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik
Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec
INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant
Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalem, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro
Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov
Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez
Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio
Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Perú

Dra. María Laura Salinas
Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía
Santiago – Chile
Representante Legal
Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN



Universidad de Concepción



BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN

**RESEARCH ON THE CORRELATION BETWEEN SUBJECTIVE CONTROL
AND THE INDEXES OF STUDY PROGRESS OF BACHELORS OF THE FACULTY
OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORT**

Dr. Ihor Popovych

Kherson State University, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1663-111X>
ihorpopovych999@gmail.com

Dr. Olena Halian

Drogobych Ivan Franko State Pedagogical University, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6070-9669>
halyane@ukr.net

Dr. Victorivna Geiko

Volodymyr Vynnychenko Central Ukrainian State Pedagogical University, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2386-9679>
janegeyko@gmail.com

Ph. D. Olha Zaverukha

Lviv State University Internal Affairs, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2701-2215>
olichka.zaverukha@gmail.com

Dr. Liana Spytka

Volodymyr Dahl East Ukrainian National University, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9004-727X>
floweroflife2025@gmail.com

Dr. Yuliia Bokhonkova

Volodymyr Dahl East Ukrainian National University, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2549-0483>
lev0507303039@gmail.com

Ph. D. (C) Oksana Fedyk

Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University, Ukraine
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9029-2611>
oksfedyk@ukr.net

Fecha de Recepción: 13 de abril de 2020 – **Fecha Revisión:** 15 de mayo de 2020

Fecha de Aceptación: 30 de junio de 2020 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de julio de 2020

Abstract

The purpose of the study is to examine the correlation between the psychological content parameters of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress of the bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport. It was established that there is no correlation between the psychological content parameters of *subjective control* ($p < .05$), and the knowledge quality in general education courses of the research students ($p > .05$). There is a quantitative and percent advantage of the indexes of the bachelors-teachers. We recommend implementing a flexible approach in organizing educational, training and competition processes of bachelors-sportsmen and applying combined variants taking into consideration the curricula, training schedules, maximum training loads and timetables of sportsmen's participation in competitions. The obtained considerably lower indexes of internality in family relationships ($M=33.12$) and considerably higher indexes of internality concerning health and

Research on the Correlation between Subjective Control and the Indexes of Study Progress of Bachelors of the Faculty... pág. 498

illness (M=18.22) of the bachelors-sportsmen are explained as subjective self-regulatory concentration of the bachelors-sportsmen on sport career and the aspiration for achieving sports results, in particular, individual or team achievements at the expense of psycho-physiological dominants, anthropological characteristics and health. The obtained results allow making local corrections to organizing and managing educational, training and competition processes at the stage of planning.

Keywords

Teacher – Physical Education – Knowledge Quality

Para Citar este Artículo:

Popovych, Ihor; Halian, Olena; Geiko, Victorivna; Zaverukha, Olha; Spytka, Liana; Bokhonkova, Yuliia y Fedyk, Oksana. Research on the Correlation between Subjective Control and the Indexes of Study Progress of Bachelors of the Faculty of Physical Education and Sport. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 497-511.

Licencia Creative Commons Attribution Non-Comercial 3.0 Unported
(CC BY-NC 3.0)

Licencia Internacional



Introduction

One of the most important criteria of self-determination of an individual, in J. B. Rotter's opinion, is subjective control, i.e. the ability of a person to take responsibility for his/her behavior, for the results of activities in different life situations¹. The key indicator of *subjective control* is its level, in other words, the ability of an individual to control himself/herself and his/her behavior, to be responsible for everything happening to him/her and his/her surroundings². In sports, competition and educational activities *subjective control* is considered as an important component of general control, determining external or internal regulatory ability of an individual and it is based on the character of comparison of actual results to planned results or the results achieved before and is meant to identify strengths and weaknesses of a sportsman's, a coach's and a teacher's qualification and is aimed at their further self-perfection. The research on a considerable correlation between social expectations of future physical education teachers and the indexes of study progress³ is of great interest. Another study determines that actualization of a subjective position of future physical education teachers contributes to realizing motivation for self-development, there is an increase in the proportion of motifs and values of personal and professional improvement provided that psychological support of motivation and value development is organized⁴.

It was proved that the level of *subjective control* is directly dependent on self-regulation of an individual's behavior⁵. Other researchers considered self-regulation as control of behavior ensuring the correlation of internal capabilities and external aims⁶. There are studies combining *subjective control* and self-regulation and considering them as basic components of an individual's resourcefulness. *Subjective control* is integrated into Strength model, suggested by R. F. Baumeister, B. J. Schmeichel and K. D. Vohs⁷. We will characterize six fundamental principles of this model: 1) actions of self-regulation spend limited resources, therefore after such actions individual resources are temporarily reduced that affects subjective control; 2) when resources are depleted, an individual is less efficient in other tasks of self-regulation, his/her regulatory ability decreases; 3) the same resources are used for a wide range of regulatory activities and self-control; 4) resources like energy or strength can be restored after relaxation or by means of other mechanisms; 5) training self-control can lead to a continuous increase of the ability of self-regulation and the level of *subjective control* rises; 6) an individual can change his/her behavior long before these resources are spent, i.e. he/she can predict possible effects of self-regulation.

¹ J. B. Rotter, "Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement", *General and Applied*, Vol: 80 num 1 (1966): 1-28.

² J. B. Rotter, *The development and applications of social learning theory: Selected papers* (New York: Praeger, 1982).

³ I. S. Popovych & O. Ye. Blynova, "The Structure, Variables and Interdependence of the Factors of Mental States of Expectations in Students' Academic and Professional Activities", *The New Educational Review*, Vol: 55 num 1 (2019): 293-306.

⁴ I. M. Halian, "Motivational and value determinants of professional development of future physical education teachers", *Science and education. Scientific and practical journal of South Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K. D. Ushynsky. Psychology*, num 3 (2018): 36-42.

⁵ M. Boryshevsky, "Personality in the dimensions of self-consciousness (Sumy: Ellada, 2012).

⁶ E. A. Sergienko; G. A. Vilenskaya & Yu. V. Kovaleva, *Behavior control as subject regulation* (Moscow: Institute of Psychology, RAS, 2010).

⁷ R. F. Baumeister; B. J. Schmeichel & K. D. Vohs, "Self-regulation and the executive function: The self as controlling agent". In A. W. Kruglanski & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 516-539) (New York, NY, US: The Guilford Press, 2007).

The study examines subjective well-being of personality as a multifunctional integral social and psychological phenomenon⁸.

The research on the efficiency of applied professional physical training of juveniles as a result of applying informational and methodological systems is worthy of attention. It was established that the types of control used: preliminary, operational, current, overall, self-control (the level of subjective control) – allow increasing the efficiency of the process of physical education of students⁹. The studies whose authors touch upon the issue of *subjective control* – the examination of model indexes of technical and tactical actions of footballers¹⁰ and the analysis of motor actions of the players of professional football teams¹¹ – also attract attention. It is essential to take into account self-control and a regulatory ability of a sportsman's temporary mental state. It is important to work at a regulatory ability to transform these mental states into positive mental skills, enabling a sportsman to focus on using his/her intellectual and physical potential efficiently during official competitions¹². Another study determines and proves that the structure, variables and interdependence of the factors of mental states of expectations are important components of academic and professional activities of students, and the obtained empirical results of the research contribute to efficient organization of educational process¹³. B. F. Lomov proved in his system-level conception that an individual is able to prepare in advance according to the predicted changes of the environment. He/she predicts a result and makes an action plan. It is accompanied by subjective regulation of behavior and determines its character in a certain situation¹⁴. The process of mental regulation of an individual's behavior is accompanied by localization of *subjective control* affecting all the areas of his/her vital activities. Locus of expectations plays a key role in localization of subjective control. Localization of expectations reflects a dynamic component of a sportsman's training for competition activities. Features of internality and externality of social expectations influence all the actions, acts and results of an individual's behavior¹⁵. Locus of control is a double-

⁸ V. Yu.; Zavatskiy; Yu. A. Zavatskiy & L. V. Spytka, "The formation of subjective well-being of personality". *Nierówności społeczne a wzrost gospodarczy*, Social Inequalities and Economic Growth, Vol: 55 num 3 (2018): 401-409.

⁹ O. Kashuba & N. Golovanova, "Increase in efficiency of professionally applied physical training of pupils of 16-17 years old based on application of informational and methodical systems", *Physical education of students*, Vol: 22 num 2 (2018): 57-62.

¹⁰ S. Lebedev; A. Abdula; B. Bezyasichny; L. Karpets; T. Shpanko & A. Efremenko, "Model indicators of technical and tactical actions for highly qualified footballers with different roles in the Premier League of Ukraine", *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, Vol: 18 num 2 (2018): 706-709.

¹¹ S. Lebediev; B. Beziazychnyi; O. Bulgakov; S. Stadnik; V. Khudiakova; A. Yefremenko; S. Zhurid & N. Petrusenko, "Analysis of motor activity of professional football team players in the Ukrainian first league", *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, Vol: 19 num 1 (2019): 87-91.

¹² E. Nagla, "Impact of using some of mental strategies on the psychological hesitation and effectiveness of tactical activity of juniors' kumite", *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, Vol: 15 num 1 (2015): 32-39.

¹³ I. S. Popovych & O. Ye. Blynova, "Research on the Correlation between Psychological Content Parameters of Social Expectations and the Indexes of Study Progress of Future Physical Education Teachers", *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, Vol: 19 num 3 (2019): 847-853 y V. Olefir; M. Kuznetso & V. Plokhikh, "Effect of physical exercises and perceived stress interaction on students' satisfaction with life", *Pedagogics, Psychology, Medical-Biological Problems of Physical Training and Sports*, Vol: 23 num 1 (2019): 30-35.

¹⁴ B. F. Lomov, *Mental regulation of activity* (Moscow: Institute of Psychology, RAS, 2006).

¹⁵ I. S. Popovych, "Social expectations – a basic component of the system of adjusting of social conduct of a person", *Australian Journal of Scientific Research*, num 2 Vol: 6 (2014): 393-398 y I. S.

pole characteristic: internals are on one pole and externals are on the other one. Every individual has his/her place on the scale of internality–externality. Internals have internal locus of control, externals have external locus of control. A sportsman-internal evaluates all significant events happening in his/her life as a result of his/her own activities. He/she considers him/herself able to influence the events in his/her life, manage them and therefore to be responsible for them and his/her life on the whole. A sportsman-external, vice versa, interprets all the events happening in his/her life as the ones depending not on him/her but on some other forces (God, other people, fortune etc). In professional activities externals are characterized by more conformity, they are more inclined to manipulations and more yielding and sensitive to others' opinions and evaluations. On the whole, externals are excellent executors working efficiently under other people's control. At the same time, internals, unlike externals, work more efficiently not in a team but on their own. They are more active in searching for information. In addition, internals are better at coping with tasks requiring initiative. They are more decisive and self-confident, they are not afraid of taking risks. Our observations show that internal leaders in team sports are able to use authoritarian leadership successfully and make decisions in extremely critical situations. The above mentioned features of *subjective control* make it necessary to formulate a hypothesis about a significant level of sportsmen's *subjective control* as compared to that of teachers of physical education. Thus, the research on the correlation between psychological content parameters of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress of bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport is thought to be topical, timely and the one requiring empirical evidence.

Hypothesis. The authors assume: 1. Psychological content parameters of *subjective control* of bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport have an impact on the study progress in their academic and professional training. 2. Probably, sports and competition activities require considerable internalization of volitional efforts that makes it possible to assume that bachelors of physical education and sport have considerably higher indexes of *subjective control* than bachelors-teachers of physical education do.

Purpose. To examine the correlation between psychological content parameters of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress of bachelors of physical education and sport.

Methodology and methods

Methodological fundamentals of the empirical Research on the Correlation between *Subjective Control* and the Indexes of Study Progress of Bachelors of the Faculty of Physical Education and Sport are the system of successive measures with application of psychodiagnostic instruments. This methodology was tested by the researchers when examining professional development of future professionals¹⁶ and also evaluating mental states of

Popovych, "Social expectations in the theories of motivation of personality", *Philosophy. Psychology. Sociology*, num 4 Vol: 20 (2014): 53-63.

¹⁶ A. S. Borysiuk, "Research on the peculiarities of the motivation sphere of future doctors", *Insight: the psychological dimensions of society*, num 1 (2019): 102-109; I. M. Halian, "Personal determinants of responsibility of future educators", *Insight: the psychological dimensions of society*, num 1 (2019): 15-21; O. Halian, "Responsibility and emotional burnout of teachers", *Insight: the psychological dimensions of society*, num 2 (2019): 16-23 y I. Popovych; L. Lymarenko; N. Tereshenko; T. Kornisheva; O. Yevdokimova; A. Koverznieva & M. Aleksieieva, "Research on the Effectiveness of Training Technologies' Implementation in Student Theater", *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num 2 (2020): 104-121.

DR. IHOR POPOVYCH / DR. OLENA HALIAN / DR. VICTORIVNA GEIKO / PH. D. OLHA ZAVERUKHA / DR. LIANA SPYTSKA
DR. YULIA BOKHONKOVA / PH. D. (C) OKSANA FEDYK

expectations in different activities¹⁷. All the above-mentioned experimental and empirical studies contain the elements of the research on *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress.

Participants

The second-fourth year students (n=212, the age of 18-22 years) of Kherson State University were examined. All the students study at the Faculty of physical education and sport and pursue a degree in the areas of study “Secondary education (Physical education)” and “Physical education and sport”. Participation in the research does not violate rights and does not endanger students’ wellbeing. The research is conducted according to ethical standards of committee on the rights of experiments of Helsinki declaration of 2013¹⁸.

Organization of research

At the end of the academic year 2018-2019, in May-June, we received a test profile of the indexes of the study progress of the second-fourth-year students of the Faculty of physical education and sport. The indexes of the study progress are the Grade Point Average and the coefficient of knowledge quality. These data concern the second term of the academic year. The GPA (Grade Point Average) – is an average grade for examinations. It is determined as general study progress of a student and is a key index of study progress for a particular period of time. Students having GPA=2.0 are expelled. The next important index of study progress used in the research is knowledge quality. KQ (Knowledge Quality) is determined as the ratio of the number of grades “A”, “B”, “C” by the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) to the total number of grades. Knowledge quality is a derivative of a student’s study progress for examinations indicating a qualitative component of academic and professional training. We differentiated between the indexes of knowledge quality by the areas of study: KQg – the index of knowledge quality determined by the level of knowledge in general education subjects; KQp – the index of knowledge quality determined by the level of knowledge in practical, methodological and professional training. The coefficient of knowledge quality is within the range .0-1.0. Psychological content parameters of the level of *subjective control* were determined with the questionnaire “Level of subjective control” (“LSC”)¹⁹ during the summer examinations of the academic year 2018–2019. The questionnaire allowed determining the following empirical variables: general internality (GI), internality in the area of achievements (IA), internality in the area of failures (IF), internality in family relationships (IFR), internality in the area of labor relations (ILR), internality concerning health and illness (IHI). The theoretical and methodological framework of the empirical research was the statement that one of the most important

¹⁷ V. V. Khmil & I. S. Popovych, “Philosophical and Psychological Dimensions of Social Expectations of Personality”, *Anthropological Measurements of Philosophical Research*, num 16 (2019): 55-65; I. Popovych; O. Blynova; A. Zhuravlova; M. Toba; T. Tkach & N. Zavatska, “Optimization of development and psycho-correction of social expectations of students of foreign philology”, *Revista Inclusiones*. Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 82-94; I. Popovych; A. Borysiuk; L. Zahrai; O. Fedoruk; P. Nosov; S. Zinchenko & V. Mateichuk, “Constructing a Structural-Functional Model of Social Expectations of the Personality”, *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial, (2020): 154-167 y I. Popovych; O. Kononenko; A. Kononenko; V. Stynska; N. Kravets; L. Piletska & O. Blynova, “Research of the Relationship between Existential Anxiety and the Sense of Personality’s Existence”, *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial, (2020): 41-59.

¹⁸ “WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects”. (2013).

¹⁹ J. B. Rotter, “Generalized expectancies ...”

personality traits is the degree of independence, self-sufficiency and diligence of an individual in achieving aims, the development of a sense of responsibility for everything happening to him/her. It is methodologically reasonable to use the scientific category “localization of control”²⁰. We defined localization of control as a multidimensional profile, whose components are connected to the types of social situations, in particular, to sports, competition, educational and other situations with a different degree of generalization. The responses were evaluated by means of the bipolar semantic differential scale, its value was within the range of -3 (absolutely disagree) and +3 (absolutely agree). The indexes of reliability, obtained by means of Cronbach’s alpha, were: $\alpha_{LSC} = .792$. The indexes of reliability of the methods used and the tests of Cronbach’s alpha were within sufficient (.7) and high levels (.9).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical processing of the empirical data was performed by means of the statistical program “SPSS” v. 23.0 and “Microsoft Excel”. Spearman’s correlation coefficients r_s were used to find and determine the correlation between the indexes obtained. The arithmetic mean of the parameters (M) was calculated, minimum (min), maximum (max) and the mean square deviation (SD) were given. Verification of the parameters of the normal distribution was done by means of Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample criterion \bar{F}_n

The evaluation of differences reliability of distinctions of average values of the independent sample was carried out by parametrical methods by means of Student’s t-test on the basis of normal Gaussian distribution of the research quantitative characteristic. The differences between the values of the variables at the level $p \leq .05$ are considered statistically significant.

Results

The obtained results of the research psychological parameters of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress were evaluated using the scales of minimum (min), maximum (max), the arithmetic mean (M) and the mean square deviation (SD), given in Table 1.

Scale	Minimum, min	Maximum, max	Arithmetic mean, M	Mean square deviation, SD
“LSC”				
IG	132.00	237.00	187.12	20.96
IA	26.00	69.00	52.78	7.28
IF	29.00	71.00	46.12	7.54
IFR	17.00	52.00	34.64	5.97
ILR	24.00	49.00	33.65	5.04
IHI	5.00	27.00	16.73	4.17
“Indexes of study progress”				
GPA	2.90	5.00	3.56	.57
KQg	.00	1.00	.21	.25

²⁰ J. B. Rotter, “Generalized expectancies ...

KQp	.00	1.00	.31	.26
-----	-----	------	-----	-----

Note: min – minimum; max – maximum; M – arithmetic mean; SD – mean square deviation.

Table 1

The results of psychological parameters of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress of the research sample set (n=212)

The complex of nine research parameters is methodologically substantiated, that reflects the research subject of *subjective control* of the bachelors of physical education and sport. The scale titles reflect the content and structure of the research phenomenon. Since they make a semantic core of the research subject, we will explain their psychological essence: IG – a general level of *subjective control* over any life situations – is an integrating parameter; IA – *subjective control* over emotionally positive events and situations. The research participants with high indexes think that they have achieved everything in their life on their own, and that they are able to achieve their aim successfully in the future; IF – characterizes a developed sense of *subjective control* concerning negative events and situations, such research participants are inclined to accuse themselves of various troubles and sufferings; IFR – shows the level of the respondents' ability to be responsible for the events happening in their married life; ILR – reflects an individual's *subjective control* of his/her actions as an important factor of organizing production activities, labor relations in organizations, in their career path; IHI – a regulatory ability to be responsible for their own health has special importance for the research participants, whose results are related to physical loads.

The results of study progress of the bachelors-teachers and the bachelors-sportsmen of the second-fourth years of the Faculty of physical education and sport by the index of the Grade Point Average (GPA) are given in Table 2.

Level Grade Point Average	Grade National Grading System	by	ECTS Grade	Grade by 100-point grading scale	Bachelors-teachers (n=114)		Bachelors-sportsmen (n=98)	
					n	%	n	%
4.7-5.0	excellent		A	90-100	7	6.14	4	4.08
3.8-4.6	good		B	82-89	17	14.91	10	10.20
			C	74-81	35	30.70	25	25.51
2.8-3.7	satisfactory		D	64-73	28	24.56	28	28.58
			E	60-63	23	20.18	25	25.51
2.0-2.7	unsatisfactory		FX	35-59	4	3.51	6	6.12
1.0-1.9	unsatisfactory		F	1-34	0	0.00	0	0.00

Table 2

The indexes of the Grade Point Average (GPA) of the research sample set of the bachelors-teachers (n=114) and the bachelors-sportsmen (n=98)

The results of the students' study progress by the index of the Grade Point Average were determined by the following scales: grades by the National Grading System, ECTS grades and grades by 100-point grading scale. The obtained results of the Grade Point Average are within the range of 2.75-5.00 by the empirical data and the indexes of the bachelors-teachers are higher as it was predicted. The Grade Point Average of study progress 4.7-5.0 ("excellent", A, 90-100) prevails among the bachelors-teachers n=7; 6.14% of the research participants against n=4; 4.08%. The Grade Point Average of study progress 3.8-4.6 ("good", B-C, 74-89) also prevails among the bachelors-teachers n=52; 45.61% of the research participants in contrast to n=35; 35.71% of the bachelors-sportsmen. The Grade Point Average of study progress 2.8-3.7 ("satisfactory", E-D, 60-73) was obtained by n=51; 44.74% of the bachelors-teachers and n=53; 54.09% of the bachelors-sportsmen. The

Grade Point Average of study progress 2.0-2.7 (“unsatisfactory” with a possibility of retaking an exam, FX, 35-59) was received by $n=4$; 3.51% of the bachelors-teachers and $n=6$; 6.12% of the bachelors-sportsmen. There are no research participants with the Grade Point Average 1.0-1.9 (“unsatisfactory” with the necessity to retake a course, F, 1-34).

We will give the results of study progress of the bachelors-teachers and the bachelors-sportsmen of the second-fourth years of the Faculty of physical education and sport by the index of Knowledge Quality, determined by general education subjects (KQg) and the subjects of practical, methodological and professional training (KQp) in Table 3.

Level of Knowledge Quality	Value	Bachelors-teachers (n=114)				Bachelors-sportsmen (n=98)			
		KQg		KQp		KQg		KQp	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
High	.91-1.00	1	0.88	2	1.75	1	1.02	2	2.04
	.81-.90	3	2.63	4	3.51	2	2.04	4	4.08
	.71-.80	4	3.51	7	6.14	4	4.08	6	6.12
Medium	.61-.70	5	4.39	8	7.02	4	4.08	7	7.14
	.51-.60	10	8.77	9	7.89	9	9.18	11	11.23
	.41-.50	21	18.42	24	21.06	20	20.41	20	20.41
Sufficient	.31-.40	17	14.91	22	19.30	15	15.31	17	17.35
	.21-.30	11	9.65	9	7.89	8	8.16	8	8.16
	.11-.20	10	8.77	6	5.26	8	8.16	8	8.16
Low	.00-.10	32	28.07	23	20.18	27	27.56	15	15.31

Table 3

The indexes of Knowledge Quality (KQ) of the research sample set of the bachelors-teachers ($n=114$) and the bachelors-sportsmen ($n=98$)

The index of Knowledge Quality is differentiated by two areas: 1) general education courses and 2) practical, methodological and professional training. The index of Knowledge Quality in general education courses (KQg) was determined by general education subjects. The index of Knowledge Quality of practical, methodological and professional training (KQp) was determined by the subjects immediately relating to the theory and methods of physical education, training process and competition activities.

Guided by the logic of empirical research we determined the correlation between psychological content parameters of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress of the research participants by Spearman's correlation coefficient r_s . The results of the correlation analysis are represented in Table 4.

Indexes	IG	IA	IF	IFR	ILR	IHI	GPA	KQg	KQp
IG	1.000	.739**	.017	.170*	.622**	.193*	.265**	.103	.607**
IA	.739**	1.000	.028	.027	.483**	.183*	.172*	.083	.588**
IF	.017	.028	1.000	.138*	.191*	.191*	.189*	.102	.186*
IFR	.170*	.027	.138*	1.000	.149*	.112	.164*	.104	.141*
ILR	.622**	.483**	.191*	.149*	1.000	.249**	.302**	.182*	.590**
IHI	.193*	.183*	.191*	.112	.249**	1.000	.042	.082	.190*
GPA	.265**	.072	.189*	.164*	.302**	.002	1.000	.496**	.821**
KQg	.103	.083	.102	.104	.182**	.082	.496**	1.000	.584**
KQp	.607**	.588**	.186*	.141*	.590**	.190*	.821**	.584**	1.000

Note. * – the correlation is significant at the level $p < 0.05$ (two-way); ** – the correlation is significant at the level $p < 0.01$ (two-way).

Table 4

The correlation of *subjective control* and the indexes of study progress of the bachelor's of the Faculty of physical education and sport (n=212)

The determination of the correlation coefficients allows stating that all the correlations are positive, but not all of them are significant. Thus, general internality IG has the highest positive significant correlation with the index of knowledge quality in practical, methodological and professional training KQp ($r_s = .607$; $p < .01$) and the Grade Point Average GPA ($r_s = .265$; $p < .01$). Internality in the area of achievements IA also has a high index of positive significant correlation with the knowledge quality in practical, methodological and professional training KQp ($r_s = .588$; $p < .01$) and the Grade Point Average GPA ($r_s = .172$; $p < .05$). The values of internality in labor relations ILR – KQp ($r_s = .590$; $p < .01$) and GPA ($r_s = .182$; $p < .05$) are similar. It is interesting from a scientific point of view that there is no significant correlation of almost all psychological content parameters of *subjective control* with the indexes of knowledge quality in general education courses, except internality in labor relations ILR – KQg ($r_s = .182$; $p < .05$), and it requires additional attention in the course of organization of teaching general education subjects. The obtained results confirm the first hypothesis of the research and show the diversity of all internal psychological content correlations of the research subject in the aspect of content.

In order to confirm or disprove the second hypothesis about prevailing internalization of *subjective control* in sports and competition activities of the bachelor's of physical education we presented quantitative and percent distribution of the data of the bachelors-teachers and the bachelors-sportsmen. Table 5 contains the frequency distribution of the research participants' empirical data by all the scales: GI, IA, IF, IFR, ILR, IHI.

Scale	Bachelors-teachers (n=114)						Bachelors-sportsmen (n=98)					
	High level		Medium level		Low level		High level		Medium level		Low level	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
IG	14	12.28	88	77.19	12	10.53	13	13.27	75	76.53	10	10.20
IA	12	10.53	89	78.07	13	11.40	11	11.22	76	77.56	11	11.22
IF	14	12.28	87	76.32	13	11.40	12	12.24	75	76.54	11	11.22
IFR	16	14.04	85	74.56	13	11.40	10	10.20	72	73.47	16	16.33
ILR	13	11.40	89	78.07	12	10.53	11	11.22	77	78.58	10	10.20
IHI	14	12.28	79	69.30	21	18.42	19	19.39	67	68.37	12	12.24

Table 5

The distribution of the parameters of *subjective control* "LSC" of the bachelors-teachers (n=114) and the bachelors-sportsmen (n=98)

The profile of the sample set by psychological content parameters of *subjective control* of the bachelors-teachers and the bachelors-sportsmen showed the distribution of the empirical data relatively similar to the Gaussian curve. We obtained high results of a medium level, they range from 69.30% to 78.58% of the research participants, low levels – from 10.20 % to 18.42% and from 10.20% to 19.39% of the research participants have a high level. High levels of the indexes of *subjective control* have a percent advantage among the bachelors-sportsmen, in particular IG (n=13; 13.27%), IA (n=11; 11.22%), IHI (n=19; 19.39%). The indexes IFR (n=16; 14.04%) are higher among the bachelors-teachers, respectively the indexes of *subjective control* IF and ILR are almost at the same level. It was confirmed that the research distributions are normal by means of a one-sample criterion of Kolmogorov-Smirnov f .

Discussion

The positive significant correlation of the correlation pairs (see Table 4) indicates that the research participants demonstrate a correlation with the key parameters of *subjective control* due to, firstly, practical, methodological and professional training ($r_s = .141-.607$; $p < .05-.01$), and also the Grade Point Average ($r_s = .164-.302$; $p < .05-.01$).

The significance of the correlation is recorded during purposeful observations in the actions and acts reflecting the scenarios of *subjective control* of the course of events, self-regulatory value attitude and orientation towards the result of activities and success. The lack of significant correlation between the research psychological parameters and the level of knowledge quality in general education courses KQg ($r_s = .082-.104$; $p > .05$) of all the indexes, except ILR, is explained by the fact that taking general education courses is an important stage of improving an individual's worldview, erudition and has an impact on career development and professional development, but Spearman's correlation coefficient r_s does not confirm it. Another empirical study also established the lack of significant correlations between psychological content parameters of social expectations and the indexes of study progress of future physical education teachers.

The researchers determined a significant correlation between the parameters of social expectations and the Grade Point Average ($p < .01$), the knowledge quality in methodological training ($p < .01$) and the knowledge quality in practical and professional training ($p < .05$)²¹.

We will show the comparison of the arithmetic mean (M) of psychological content parameters of *subjective control* of the bachelors-teachers and the bachelors-sportsmen in Figure 1.

²¹ I. S. Popovych & O. Ye. Blynova, "The Structure, Variables and Interdependence of the Factors of Mental States of Expectations in Students' Academic and Professional Activities", The New Educational Review, Vol: 55 num 1 (2019): 293-306.




Figure 1

The arithmetic mean (M) of psychological content parameters of *subjective control* of the bachelors-teachers and the bachelors-sportsmen

The comparison of the arithmetic mean allows stating that the indexes of four parameters of subjective control: IG (M=189.52), IA (M=53.56), IF (M=46.88) and IHI (M=18.22) are higher among the bachelors-sportsmen, and the indexes of *subjective control* IFR (M=37.56) and ILR (M=33.92) are higher among the bachelors-teachers. The comparison of two independent sample sets was made using Student's t-criterion, since the distribution is normal, the samples are independent and the representation of the research participants is considerable – 114 and 98 respondents. The following results were obtained: IG ($p=.19$; $p>.05$), IA ($p=.18$; $p>.05$), IF ($p=.17$; $p>.05$), IFR ($p=.09$; $p>.05$), ILR ($p=.11$; $p>.05$) and IHI ($p=.81$; $p>.05$). The obtained statistical data disprove the second hypothesis, though a number of the indexes of *subjective control* prevail over the data of the bachelors-teachers, but the values are not significant and they are at the level $p>.05$. Respectively the indexes of subjective control: IFR (M=37.56) and ILR (M=33.92), which are higher among the bachelors-teachers, do not prevail considerably being at the level $p>.05$.

Conclusions

1) All the psychological content parameters of subjective control: GI, IA, IF, IFR, ILR, IHI of the bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport (n=212) have a significant positive two-way correlation with the knowledge quality in practical, methodological and professional training ($p<.01$; $p<.05$). The psychological content parameters of subjective control: GI, IA, IF, IFR, ILR, except IHI ($p>.05$) of the bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport (n=212) have a significant positive two-way correlation with the Grade Point Average ($p<.01$; $p<.05$). These scientific facts allow considering the first hypothesis of the research to be confirmed, i.e. the psychological content parameters of *subjective control* of the bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport influence the study progress in their academic and professional training.

2) The psychological content parameters of subjective control: GI, IA, IF, IFR, IHI, except ILR ($p<.05$) of the bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport do not

have significant correlations with the knowledge quality in general education courses ($p > .05$). Since general education subjects are important and obligatory and affect general intellectual development, value orientations of teachers and sportsmen, we highlight a vital problem of searching for innovative methods of teaching general education subjects, establishing relationships between key academic activities and the subjects “History”, “Philosophy”, “English” etc., developing high-quality educational and methodological support, the variants of full-time or part-time distance education, providing the process of studying these subjects with motivational argumentation and suitability for students.

3) The comparative analysis of the research parameters (see Table 2 and Table 3) makes it possible to identify a quantitative and percent advantage of the indexes: GPA, QKp, QKg among the bachelors-teachers. Statistical significance of this advantage has not been determined. Obviously training sessions and competitions affect the level of study progress of bachelors-sportsmen, i.e. they reduce it. To prevent this tendency from increasing we recommend implementing a flexible approach to organizing educational, training and competition processes of bachelors-sportsmen and applying combined variants taking into consideration the curricula, training schedules, maximum training loads and timetables of sportsmen’s participation in competitions.

4) The obtained considerably higher indexes IHI ($M=18.22$) and considerably lower indexes IFR ($M=33.12$) of the bachelors-sportsmen are explained by subjective self-regulatory concentration of the bachelors-sportsmen on their career (partially, marital relationships are in the background, marriage is delayed) and the aspiration for achieving sport results at the expense of psycho-physiological dominants, anthropological characteristics and health, in particular, individual or team achievements. IHI – is a regulatory ability to take responsibility for one’s health and is a value-oriented component of success.

5) The obtained data of psychological content parameters of *subjective control* and their interdependence of the bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport allow making local corrections to organizing and managing educational, training and competition processes at the stage of planning.

6) Prospects of subsequent researches. Further scientific research will focus on examining the changes in educational, training and competition processes on the basis of implementing the results of the research on the correlation between subjective control and the indexes of study progress of bachelors of the Faculty of physical education and sport.

Acknowledgments

The research was conducted within the framework of the fundamental scientific and practical theme of the Department of Practical Psychology of Drohobych Ivan Franko State Pedagogical University and the Department of General and Social Psychology of Kherson State University, the state registration number is 0119U101096.

References

Baumeister, R. F.; Schmeichel, B. J. & Vohs, K. D. “Self-regulation and the executive function: The self as controlling agent”. In A. W. Kruglanski & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 516-539). New York, NY, US: The Guilford Press. 2007.

Boryshevsky, M. Personality in the dimensions of self-consciousness. Sumy: Ellada. 2012.

Borysiuk, A. S. "Research on the peculiarities of the motivation sphere of future doctors". Insight: the psychological dimensions of society, num 1 (2019): 102-109. DOI: 10.32999/2663-970X/2019-1-16

Halian, I. M. "Motivational and value determinants of professional development of future physical education teachers". Science and education. Scientific and practical journal of South Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K. D. Ushynsky. Psychology, num 3 (2018): 36-42. DOI:10.24195/2414-4665-2018-3-5

Halian, I. M. "Personal determinants of responsibility of future educators". Insight: the psychological dimensions of society, num 1 (2019): 15-21. DOI: 10.32999/2663-970X/2019-1-2

Halian, O. "Responsibility and emotional burnout of teachers". Insight: the psychological dimensions of society, num 2 (2019): 16-23. DOI: 10.32999/2663-970X/2019-2-2

Kashuba, O. & Golovanova, N. "Increase in efficiency of professionally applied physical training of pupils of 16-17 years old based on application of informational and methodical systems". Physical education of students, Vol: 22 num 2 (2018): 57-62. DOI:10.15561/20755279.2018.0201

Khmil, V. V. & Popovych, I. S. "Philosophical and Psychological Dimensions of Social Expectations of Personality". Anthropological Measurements of Philosophical Research, num 16 (2019): 55-65. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15802/ampr.v0i16.187540>

Lomov, B. F. "Mental regulation of activity". Moscow: Institute of Psychology, RAS. 2006. Retrieved from URL <https://www.litres.ru/boris-lomov/psihicheskaya-regulyaciya-deyatelnosti-izbrannye-trudy/chitat-onlayn/>

Lebedev, S.; Abdula, A.; Bezyasichny, B.; Karpets, L.; Shpanko, T. & Efremenko, A. "Model indicators of technical and tactical actions for highly qualified footballers with different roles in the Premier League of Ukraine". Journal of Physical Education and Sport, Vol: 18 num 2 (2018): 706-709. DOI:10.7752/jpes.2018.02103

Lebediev, S.; Beziazychnyi, B.; Bulgakov, O.; Stadnik, S.; Khudiakova, V.; Yefremenko, A.; Zhurid, S. & Petrusenko, N. "Analysis of motor activity of professional football team players in the Ukrainian first league". Journal of Physical Education and Sport, Vol: 19 num 1 (2019): 87-91. DOI:10.7752/jpes.2019.s1013

Nagla, E. "Impact of using some of mental strategies on the psychological hesitation and effectiveness of tactical activity of juniors' kumite". Journal of Physical Education and Sport, Vol: 15 num 1 (2015): 32-39. DOI:10.7752/jpes.2015.01006

Olefir, V.; Kuznetsov, M. & Plokhikh, V. "Effect of physical exercises and perceived stress interaction on students' satisfaction with life". Pedagogics, Psychology, Medical-Biological Problems of Physical Training and Sports, Vol: 23 num 1 (2019): 30-35. <https://doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2019.0105>

Popovych, I. S. & Blynova, O. Ye. "Research on the Correlation between Psychological Content Parameters of Social Expectations and the Indexes of Study Progress of Future Physical Education Teachers". *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, Vol: 19 num 3 (2019): 847-853. DOI:10.7752/jpes.2019.s312

Popovych, I. S. & Blynova, O. Ye. "The Structure, Variables and Interdependence of the Factors of Mental States of Expectations in Students' Academic and Professional Activities". *The New Educational Review*, Vol: 55 num 1 (2019): 293-306. DOI:10.15804/tner.2019.55.1.24

Popovych, I.; Blynova, O.; Zhuravlova, A.; Toba, M.; Tkach, T. y Zavatska, N. "Optimization of development and psycho-correction of social expectations of students of foreign philology". *Revista Inclusiones*. Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 82-94.

Popovych, I.; Borysiuk, A.; Zahrai, L.; Fedoruk, O.; Nosov, P.; Zinchenko, S. y Mateichuk, V. "Constructing a Structural-Functional Model of Social Expectations of the Personality". *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 154-167.

Popovych, I.; Kononenko, O.; Kononenko, A.; Stynska, V.; Kravets, N.; Piletska, L. y Blynova, O. "Research of the Relationship between Existential Anxiety and the Sense of Personality's Existence". *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 41-59.

Popovych, I.; Lymarenko, L.; Tereshenko, N.; Kornisheva, T.; Yevdokimova, O.; Koverznieva, A. y Aleksieieva, M. "Research on the Effectiveness of Training Technologies' Implementation in Student Theater". *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num 2 (2020): 104-121.

Popovych, I. S. "Social expectations – a basic component of the system of adjusting of social conduct of a person". *Australian Journal of Scientific Research*, num 2 Vol: 6 (2014): 393-398.

Popovych, I. S. "Social expectations in the theories of motivation of personality". *Philosophy. Psychology. Sociology*, 4(20), 2014: 53-63.

Rotter, J. B. "Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement". *General and Applied*, Vol: 80 num 1 (1966): 1-28.

Rotter, J. B. "The development and applications of social leaning theory: Selected papers". New York: Praeger. 1982.

Sergienko, E. A., Vilenskaya, G. A. & Kovaleva Yu. V. "Behavior control as subject regulation". Moscow: Institute of Psychology, RAS. 2010. Retrieved from URL <http://biblioclub.ru/index.php?page=book&id=86264>

"WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects". 2013. Retrieved from: <http://www.wma.net/es/30publications/10policies/b3/17c.pdf>

Research on the Correlation between Subjective Control and the Indexes of Study Progress of Bachelors of the Faculty... pág. 511

Zavatskyi, V. Yu.; Zavatskyi, Yu. A. & Spytyska, L. V. "The formation of subjective well-being of personality". Nierówności społeczne a wzrost gospodarczy. Social Inequalities and Economic Growth, Vol: 55 num 3 (2018): 401-409. DOI: 10.15584/nsawg.2018.3.28

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo
Puede hacerse sin permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**, citando la fuente.